

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,158	07/31/2003	Royce S. Fishman	AGALIN 3.0-003 I	2842
530 7.	30 7590 10/20/2006		EXAMINER	
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,			LEWIS, KIANDRA CHARLE	
KRUMHOLZ			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	VENUE WEST	,	ARTONII	TALER NOMBER
WESTFIELD,	NJ 07090		3772	

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/632,158	FISHMAN, ROYCE S.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kiandra C. Lewis	3772			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Jet This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	•			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-151 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-151 are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposite and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 13 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 13 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 13 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 13 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 13 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 of the oath or declaration is objected to be oath or declarat	wn from consideration. r election requirement. er. epted or b) objected to by the led to determine the drawing of the determine of the drawing of the dra	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
AMORPHORN					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO 413)			
2) Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-932) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Art Unit: 3772

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-26
 - II. Claims 27-151
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be practiced by another materially different process. The particulars of the apparatus are not required in the process. It is possible that the process as claimed could be use to deliver a therapeutic agent to a hiker or a skier in a high altitude environment and not used in an apparatus in a medical environment for delivering medical gas to a patient.
- 3. After the election of either Invention I or Invention II, the applicant must make an additional election.
- 4. As to <u>Invention I</u>, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species A - Claims 1-9

Species B - Claims 10-23

Species C - Claims 24-26.

Page 3

The species are independent or distinct because they are related processes. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed can have a materially different design, do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants. For example species A requires the limitation that the medical gas is pressurized to a pressure of up to 3,000 psig and that the N2O is at least partially in the form of a liquid. Whereas species B does not require the limitations of species I and further requires the limitations that the gas is to be transferred at reduced pressure to patient based upon need and that the plurality of medical gases are mixed within the chamber.

As to <u>Invention II</u>, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species D – Claims 27-47

Species E – Claims 48-58

Species F – Claims 59-75

Species G – Claims 76-92

Species H – Claims 93-105

Species I – Claims 106-114

Application/Control Number: 10/632,158

Art Unit: 3772

Species J – Claims 115-126

Species K – Claims 127-132

Species L – Claims 133-137

Species M – Claims 138-143

Species N – Claims 144-151

The species are independent or distinct because they are related processes. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed can have a materially different design, do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants. For example, species D requires that the apparatus comprises a portable hand-held device and that the connecting means comprise interconnecting threads on the upper and lower portions of the housing. Whereas, species E does not require the limitations of species D and further requires that the gas cartridge includes a cartridge body, a cartridge neck portion, and a puncturable sealing member.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Art Unit: 3772

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Conclusion

6. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kiandra C. Lewis whose telephone number is 571-272-7517. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7AM-4PM and alternating Fridays 7AM-3PM.

Art Unit: 3772

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia Bianco can be reached on 571-272-4940. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KCL